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#### Abstract

The study provides information about the vegetation survey conducted on the natural forest and plantations of Tropical Forest Research Institute, Jabalpur. The survey was conducted in the month of May 2016. On the basis of Important Value Index, it was observed that Tectona grandis is the most common tree species present in the campus followed by Albizia procera, Eucalyptus hybrid and Dalbergia sissoo in decreasing order. The Simpson's index of dominance is 0.8622 , which indicates the dominance of one species in this forest. The calculated Pielou's index of eveness indicates an uneven distribution of tree species.


## INTRODUCTION

The ranking of species in any forest in decreasing order of their importance can be used as a very useful device to elucidate its features and formulate ways for the improvement of the health of the forest. Simpson (1949), Margalef (1972), Shannon and Weiner (1963), Peilou (1975), Whittaker (1972) have given many qualitative and quantitative indices to understand the diversity and evenness in a forest.

STUDY AREA


During late '80s and early '90s a number of plantations of tropical forest tree species were raised in the institute campus and in the surrounding areas. After this period few plantations were also raised as part of research experiments. The main planted tree species are viz. Albizia procera, Albizia lebbek, Tectona grandis, Dalbergia sissoo, Azadirachta indica, Eucalyptus hybrid, Gmelina arborea, Pongamia pinnata, Acacia nilotica, Santalum album etc.



Fig 1: Map showing the study area within Jabalpur, MP

Tropical Forest Research institute (TFRI) is located in Jabalpur city of Madhya Pradesh at N $23^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 065^{\prime \prime}$ latitude and $\mathrm{E} 79^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 344^{\prime \prime}$ longitude. It is one of the eight regional institutes under the Indian Council of Forestry Research \& Education, Dehradun. The Institute came into existence in April 1988, although its origin goes back to 1973 when a Regional Centre of Forest Research Institute, Dehradun was established at Jabalpur to provide research support to the problems of forest management in central India. The Institute is situated 10 km south east of Jabalpur on NH -12A. The campus is spread over an area of 109 ha amidst picturesque surroundings. (Fig. 1)

## MATERIALS AND METHOD

The study area was divided into five zones depending on the type of manmade plantations or natural vegetations covering those zones. A total of 42 quadrates of 10 m X 10 m were laid out to study the vegetation and trees having $\mathrm{DBH}>10 \mathrm{~cm}$ and falling within these quadrats were considered for the study. Vegetation survey was quantitatively analysed for frequency, density and abundance according to Curtis and McIntosh (1950)

Frequency (\%) $=$ Number of quadrats where species occurred/Total no. of quadrats laid

Density (plants $\mathbf{m}^{-2}$ ) $=$ Total number of individual species occurring in all the quadrats/Total no. of quadrats laid out

Abundance $=$ Number of individuals of a species in all the quadrats/ No. of quadrats in which they occur

Importance Value Index (IVI) is a reasonable measure to assess the overall significance of a species since it takes into account several properties of the species in the vegetation. The IVI was calculated as per Curtis (1959). The parameters assessed for the purpose were density, frequency, and dominance, while importance value index (IVI) was calculated as:

IVI $=$ Relative Frequency + Relative Density

+ Relative Dominance

Species diversity ( $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ) was calculated by following Shannon and Wiener (1963) as:

IVI $=$ Relative Frequency + Relative Density + Relative Dominance

Species diversity $\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$ was calculated by following Shannon and Wiener (1963) as:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{\prime}=-\sum(\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{N}) \log _{2}(\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{N})
$$

Where, Ni is the total number of species i and N is the number of individuals of all species in that site.

Plieou's Index of Evenness value represent the distribution of individuals among the species and is calculated as:
$\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{H}^{\prime} /$ Total number of species present
Where $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ is Shannon Weiner Index.

## RESULTS

Table 1 shows the different characteristics of the selected five zones of the study area. The tree quadrats were laid proportionately to the area of the respective zones. Analysis of the vegetation along with the Important Value Index (IVI) is depicted in the table 2 . The total number of tree quadrats of dimension $10 \mathrm{~m} \times 10 \mathrm{~m}$ is 42 and the total number of trees present in the selected quadrats is 436 comprising of 28 species. The IVI decreases from 64.80 for Tectona grandis to 34.52 for Albizia procera followed by Eucalyptus (21.51) then Dalbergia sissoo (21.37). The decreasing order for relative density is also the same as IVI whereas that for relative frequency is Tectona grandis > Albizia procera=Dalbergia sissoo $>$ Eucalyptus hybrid=Phyllanthus emblica. The forest biodiversity is predominantly made of Tectona grandis-Albizia proceraEucalyptus hybrid.

Table 3 shows the tree biodiversity in Zone 1 of the study area which contains 13 tree quadrats and they contain 142 individuals comprising of 12 species. The decreasing order for the IVI is Tectona grandis $>$ Albizia procera $>$ Phyllanthus emblica > Dalbergia sissoo in contrast to the relative abundance which is maximum for Phyllanthus emblica. Table 4 shows the findings
of the vegetation survey conducted on zone 2 of the study area. It consists of 5 tree quadrats of 10 m X 10 m dimension each. The quadrats contain 70 individuals of 12 different species. Here the IVI is maximum for Tectona grandis followed by Albizia procera and then Azadiracta indica. Table 5 shows the vegetation survey conducted on zone 3 of the study area. It contains 6 tree quadrats containing 49 individuals of 7 different species. The IVI is maximum for Dalbergia sissoo followed by Tectona grandis then Tamarindus indica. The relative abundance is maximum for Tectona grandis in this zone. Table 6 shows the tree biodiversity of zone 4 of the study area which contains 98 individuals of 11 different species. The decreasing order of the IVI is Tectona grandis > Terminalia arjuna > Dalbergia latifolia. Table 7
shows the vegetation survey conducted on zone 5 of the study area which contains 9 tree quadrats with 77 individuals of 16 different species. The decreasing order of IVI is Eucalyptus > Tectona grandis $>$ Butea monosperma.

A majority portion of the study area comprises of plantations of Teak plantations which explains its predominance in the study area. The main aim of this study was to generate database regarding the vegetation type and tree biodiversity of the study area. Such studies coupled with study of carbon sequestration potential of these species can help manage the forests sustainably and increase the carbon stock with the aim of obtaining optimum yield without disturbing the equilibrium of the forest thereby increasing the carbon sink.

Table 1. Different parameters of the 5 zones of the study area

| Zone | Area <br> (approx in <br> ha) | No. of Tree <br> Quadrats | No. of <br> individuals <br> found | No. of <br> species <br> found | Shannon <br> Weiner <br> Diversity <br> Index | Pielou's <br> Index of <br> Evenness |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 22.68 | 13 | 142 | 13 | 1.652 | 0.127 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 14.58 | 5 | 70 | 12 | 1.866 | 0.156 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 12.96 | 6 | 49 | 7 | 1.670 | 0.239 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 24.3 | 9 | 98 | 11 | 1.792 | 0.163 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 32.38 | 9 | 77 | 16 | 2.090 | 0.131 |

Table 2. Vegetation survey of the entire study area

| Species | No. of individuals in all the quadrats | No. of Quadrats in which they occur | Density | Frequency | Abundance | Relative density | Relative frequency | Relative dominance | IVI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T grandis | 159 | 15 | 3.79 | 35.71 | 10.6 | 36.47 | 15.957 | 12.37 | 64.80 |
| A lebbek | 6 | 3 | 0.14 | 7.14 | 2.0 | 1.38 | 3.191 | 2.33 | 6.90 |
| S album | 10 | 3 | 0.24 | 7.14 | 3.3 | 2.29 | 3.191 | 3.89 | 9.37 |
| A procera | 69 | 10 | 1.64 | 23.81 | 6.9 | 15.83 | 10.638 | 8.05 | 34.52 |
| D sissoo | 31 | 10 | 0.74 | 23.81 | 3.1 | 7.11 | 10.638 | 3.62 | 21.37 |
| B purporea | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | 2.38 | 1.0 | 0.23 | 1.064 | 1.17 | 2.46 |
| B retusa | 4 | 2 | 0.10 | 4.76 | 2.0 | 0.92 | 2.128 | 2.33 | 5.38 |
| B ceiba | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | 2.38 | 1.0 | 0.23 | 1.064 | 1.17 | 2.46 |
| L leucocephala | 6 | 4 | 0.14 | 9.52 | 1.5 | 1.38 | 4.255 | 1.75 | 7.38 |
| P pinnata | 4 | 3 | 0.10 | 7.14 | 1.3 | 0.92 | 3.191 | 1.56 | 5.66 |
| A indica | 18 | 4 | 0.43 | 9.52 | 4.5 | 4.13 | 4.255 | 5.25 | 13.63 |
| D latifolia | 15 | 3 | 0.36 | 7.14 | 5.0 | 3.44 | 3.191 | 5.83 | 12.47 |
| B monosperma | 15 | 7 | 0.36 | 16.67 | 2.1 | 3.44 | 7.447 | 2.50 | 13.39 |
| M azederach | 3 | 1 | 0.07 | 2.38 | 3.0 | 0.69 | 1.064 | 3.50 | 5.25 |
| G arborea | 4 | 2 | 0.10 | 4.76 | 2.0 | 0.92 | 2.128 | 2.33 | 5.38 |
| $N$ arbor | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | 2.38 | 1.0 | 0.23 | 1.064 | 1.17 | 2.46 |
| P emblica | 19 | 5 | 0.45 | 11.90 | 3.8 | 4.36 | 5.319 | 4.43 | 14.11 |
| T indica | 8 | 1 | 0.19 | 2.38 | 8.0 | 1.84 | 1.064 | 9.33 | 12.23 |
| A latifolia | 3 | 2 | 0.07 | 4.76 | 1.5 | 0.69 | 2.128 | 1.75 | 4.57 |
| $M$ indica | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | 2.38 | 1.0 | 0.23 | 1.064 | 1.17 | 2.46 |
| T arjuna | 13 | 2 | 0.31 | 4.76 | 6.5 | 2.98 | 2.128 | 7.58 | 12.69 |
| Eucalyptus | 35 | 5 | 0.83 | 11.90 | 7.0 | 8.03 | 5.319 | 8.17 | 21.51 |
| Z jujuba | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | 2.38 | 1.0 | 0.23 | 1.064 | 1.17 | 2.46 |
| Jatropa | 2 | 2 | 0.05 | 4.76 | 1.0 | 0.46 | 2.128 | 1.17 | 3.75 |
| A pendula | 2 | 1 | 0.05 | 2.38 | 2.0 | 0.46 | 1.064 | 2.33 | 3.86 |
| H integifolia | 3 | 2 | 0.07 | 4.76 | 1.5 | 0.69 | 2.128 | 1.75 | 4.57 |
| Gulmohar | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | 2.38 | 1.0 | 0.23 | 1.064 | 1.17 | 2.46 |
| B serrata | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | 2.38 | 1.0 | 0.23 | 1.064 | 1.17 | 2.46 |
| Total | 436 |  | 10.38 | 223.81 | 85.71 | 100.01 | 100.000 | 100.00 | 300.01 |

Table 3. Vegetation survey of Zone 1 of the study area

| Species | No. of Individuals in all Quadrats (13) | No. of Quadrats in which they occur | ZONE 1 <br> Density | ZONE-1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Frequency | Abundance | Relative Density | Relative frequency | Relative abundance | IVI |
| T grandis | 59 | 7 | 4.538 | 0.538 | 8.429 | 41.550 | 24.998 | 18.418 | 84.966 |
| B monosperma | 3 | 2 | 0.231 | 0.154 | 1.500 | 2.113 | 7.142 | 3.278 | 12.533 |
| A procera | 44 | 6 | 3.385 | 0.462 | 7.333 | 30.986 | 21.427 | 16.025 | 68.438 |
| B retusa | 1 | 1 | 0.077 | 0.077 | 1.000 | 0.704 | 3.571 | 2.185 | 6.461 |
| L leucocephala | 1 | 1 | 0.077 | 0.077 | 1.000 | 0.704 | 3.571 | 2.185 | 6.461 |
| M azederach | 3 | 1 | 0.231 | 0.077 | 3.000 | 2.113 | 3.571 | 6.556 | 12.240 |
| D sissoo | 10 | 4 | 0.769 | 0.308 | 2.500 | 7.042 | 14.285 | 5.463 | 26.790 |
| A lebbek | 3 | 1 | 0.231 | 0.077 | 3.000 | 2.113 | 3.571 | 6.556 | 12.240 |
| $S$ album | 3 | 1 | 0.231 | 0.077 | 3.000 | 2.113 | 3.571 | 6.556 | 12.240 |
| G arborea | 2 | 1 | 0.154 | 0.077 | 2.000 | 1.408 | 3.571 | 4.370 | 9.350 |
| N arbor | 1 | 1 | 0.077 | 0.077 | 1.000 | 0.704 | 3.571 | 2.185 | 6.461 |
| P emblica | 10 | 1 | 0.769 | 0.077 | 10.000 | 7.042 | 3.571 | 21.852 | 32.466 |
| A indica | 2 | 1 | 0.154 | 0.077 | 2.000 | 1.408 | 3.571 | 4.370 | 9.350 |
|  | 142 |  | 10.923 | 2.154 | 45.762 | 100.001 | 99.993 | 100.000 | 299.993 |

Table 4. Vegetation survey of Zone 2 of the study area

| Species | No. of Individuals in all Quadrats | No. Of Quadrats in which they occur | Density | Frequency | ZONE-2 |  | Relative frequency | Relative abundance | IVI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Abundance | Relative Density |  |  |  |
| T grandis | 26 | 2 | 5.2 | 0.400 | 13 | 37.143 | 13.333 | 26.804 | 77.280 |
| A lebbek | 1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.200 | 1 | 1.429 | 6.667 | 2.062 | 10.157 |
| S album | 6 | 1 | 1.2 | 0.200 | 6 | 8.571 | 6.667 | 12.371 | 27.609 |
| A procera | 14 | 2 | 2.8 | 0.400 | 7 | 20.000 | 13.333 | 14.433 | 47.766 |
| D sissoo | 3 | 2 | 0.6 | 0.400 | 1.5 | 4.286 | 13.333 | 3.093 | 20.712 |
| B purporea | 1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.200 | 1 | 1.429 | 6.667 | 2.062 | 10.157 |
| B retusa | 3 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.200 | 3 | 4.286 | 6.667 | 6.186 | 17.138 |
| B ceiba | 1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.200 | 1 | 1.429 | 6.667 | 2.062 | 10.157 |
| L leucocephala | 1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.200 | 1 | 1.429 | 6.667 | 2.062 | 10.157 |
| P pinnata | 1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.200 | 1 | 1.429 | 6.667 | 2.062 | 10.157 |
| A indica | 11 | 1 | 2.2 | 0.200 | 11 | 15.714 | 6.667 | 22.680 | 45.061 |
| D latifolia | 2 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.200 | 2 | 2.857 | 6.667 | 4.124 | 13.648 |
|  | 70 |  | 14 | 3.000 | 48.5 | 100.000 | 100.000 | 100.000 | 300.000 |

Table 5. Vegetation survey of Zone 3 of the study area

| Species | No. of Individuals in all Quadrats | No. of Quadrats in which they occur | Density | Frequency | ZONE 3 |  | Relative frequency | Relative abundance | IVI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Abundance | Relative <br> Density |  |  |  |
| D sissoo | 17 | 3 | 2.833 | 0.500 | 5.667 | 34.692 | 33.333 | 15.044 | 83.070 |
| A procera | 6 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.167 | 6.000 | 12.244 | 11.111 | 15.929 | 39.285 |
| T indica | 8 | 1 | 1.333 | 0.167 | 8.000 | 16.326 | 11.111 | 21.239 | 48.676 |
| A latifolia | 2 | 1 | 0.333 | 0.167 | 2.000 | 4.081 | 11.111 | 5.310 | 20.502 |
| A indica | 4 | 1 | 0.667 | 0.167 | 4.000 | 8.163 | 11.111 | 10.619 | 29.893 |
| M indica | 1 | 1 | 0.167 | 0.167 | 1.000 | 2.041 | 11.111 | 2.655 | 15.807 |
| T grandis | 11 | 1 | 1.833 | 0.167 | 11.000 | 22.448 | 11.111 | 29.203 | 62.762 |
|  | 49 |  | 8.167 | 1.500 | 37.667 | 99.996 | 100.000 | 99.999 | 299.995 |

Table 6. Vegetation survey of Zone 4 of the study area

| Species | No. of Individuals in all Quadrats | No. of Quadrats in which they occur | Density | Frequency | ZONE 4 |  | Relative frequency | Relative <br> abundance | IVI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Abundance | Relative <br> Density |  |  |  |
| T grandis | 44 | 3 | 4.889 | 0.333 | 14.667 | 44.898 | 17.646 | 25.882 | 88.426 |
| D latifolia | 11 | 1 | 1.222 | 0.111 | 11.000 | 11.224 | 5.882 | 19.412 | 36.518 |
| T arjuna | 12 | 1 | 1.333 | 0.111 | 12.000 | 12.245 | 5.882 | 21.176 | 39.303 |
| Eucalyptus | 10 | 2 | 1.111 | 0.222 | 5.000 | 10.204 | 11.764 | 8.823 | 30.791 |
| P pinnata | 3 | 2 | 0.333 | 0.222 | 1.500 | 3.061 | 11.764 | 2.647 | 17.472 |
| B monosperma | $a \quad 4$ | 2 | 0.444 | 0.222 | 2.000 | 4.082 | 11.764 | 3.529 | 19.375 |
| A procera | 7 | 2 | 0.778 | 0.222 | 3.500 | 7.143 | 11.764 | 6.176 | 25.083 |
| Z jujuba | 1 | 1 | 0.111 | 0.111 | 1.000 | 1.020 | 5.882 | 1.765 | 8.667 |
| Jatropa | 1 | 1 | 0.111 | 0.111 | 1.000 | 1.020 | 5.882 | 1.765 | 8.667 |
| E officinalis | 4 | 1 | 0.444 | 0.111 | 4.000 | 4.082 | 5.882 | 7.059 | 17.022 |
| A indica | 1 | 1 | 0.111 | 0.111 | 1.000 | 1.020 | 5.882 | 1.765 | 8.667 |
|  | 98 |  | 10.889 | 1.889 | 56.667 | 99.999 | 99.994 | 99.999 | 299.993 |

Table 7. Vegetation survey of Zone 5 of study area

| Species | ZONE 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quadrats | occur | Density | Frequency | Abundance | Density | frequency | abundance | IVI |
| A pendula | 2 | 1 | 0.222 | 0.111 | 2.000 | 2.597 | 4.166 | 4.979 | 11.743 |
| Eucalyptus | 25 | 3 | 2.778 | 0.333 | 8.333 | 32.466 | 12.498 | 20.747 | 65.711 |
| $T$ grandis | 19 | 2 | 2.111 | 0.222 | 9.500 | 24.674 | 8.332 | 23.651 | 56.658 |
| A latifolia | 1 | 1 | 0.111 | 0.111 | 1.000 | 1.299 | 4.166 | 2.490 | 7.954 |
| L leucocephala | 4 | 2 | 0.444 | 0.222 | 2.000 | 5.195 | 8.332 | 4.979 | 18.506 |
| P emblica | 5 | 3 | 0.556 | 0.333 | 1.667 | 6.493 | 12.498 | 4.149 | 23.141 |
| B monosperma | 8 | 3 | 0.889 | 0.333 | 2.667 | 10.389 | 12.498 | 6.639 | 29.526 |
| H integrefolia | 2 | 1 | 0.222 | 0.111 | 2.000 | 2.597 | 4.166 | 4.979 | 11.743 |
| G arborea | 2 | 1 | 0.222 | 0.111 | 2.000 | 2.597 | 4.166 | 4.979 | 11.743 |
| D latifolia | 2 | 1 | 0.222 | 0.111 | 2.000 | 2.597 | 4.166 | 4.979 | 11.743 |
| S album | 1 | 1 | 0.111 | 0.111 | 1.000 | 1.299 | 4.166 | 2.490 | 7.954 |
| Gulmohar | 1 | 1 | 0.111 | 0.111 | 1.000 | 1.299 | 4.166 | 2.490 | 7.954 |
| D sissoo | 1 | 1 | 0.111 | 0.111 | 1.000 | 1.299 | 4.166 | 2.490 | 7.954 |
| Jatropa | 1 | 1 | 0.111 | 0.111 | 1.000 | 1.299 | 4.166 | 2.490 | 7.954 |
| A lebbek | 2 | 1 | 0.222 | 0.111 | 2.000 | 2.597 | 4.166 | 4.979 | 11.743 |
| B serrata | 1 | 1 | 0.111 | 0.111 | 1.000 | 1.299 | 4.166 | 2.490 | 7.954 |
|  | 77 |  | 8.556 | 2.667 | 40.167 | 99.995 | 99.988 | 99.999 | 299.981 |
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